

## India reverses Al stance, requires government approval for model launches

India's Deputy IT Minister says AI advisory, which requires firms to comply with 'immediate effect,' is 'signalling that this is the future of regulation'

## **Manish Singh**

4

@refsrc / 11:01 PM EST • March 3,

Comment

2024



Image Credits: Getty Images

April 25, Boston | Founder Summit

4 Days Left To Save



government permission before launching new models.

India's Ministry of Electronics and IT issued the advisory to firms on Friday. The advisory — not published on public domain but a copy of which TechCrunch has reviewed — also asks tech firms to ensure that their services or products "do not permit any bias or discrimination or threaten the integrity of the electoral process."

Though the ministry admits the advisory is not legally binding, India's IT Deputy Minister Rajeev Chandrasekhar says the notice is "signalling that this is the future of regulation." He adds: "We are doing it as an advisory today asking you to comply with it."

In a tweet Monday, Chandrasekhar said the advisory is aimed at "untested Al platforms deploying on the India internet" and doesn't apply to startups.

The ministry cites power granted to it through the IT Act, 2000 and IT Rules, 2021 in its advisory. It seeks compliance with "immediate effect" and asks tech firms to submit "Action



India's move has taken many industry executives by surprise. Many Indian startups and VCs say they have been spooked by the new advisory and believe such regulation will hinder the nation's ability to compete in the global race, where it is <u>already lagging</u> behind.

"I was such a fool thinking I will work bringing GenAl to Indian agriculture from SF," wrote Pratik Desai, founder of startup Kisan Al. "We were training multimodal low cost pest and disease model, and so excited about it. This is terrible and demotivating after working 4yrs full time brining Al to this domain in India."

Many Silicon Valley leaders also





hottest AI startups, said the new advisory from New Delhi was a "bad move by India."

Martin Casado, a partner at venture firm Andreessen Horowitz, <u>said</u>, "Good fucking lord. What a travesty."

The advisory follows Chandrasekhar expressing his disappointment in a certain response by Google's Gemini last month. A user last month asked Gemini, previously known as Bard, whether India's PM Narendra Modi was a fascist.

In response, Gemini — citing experts it didn't identity — said Modi had been accused of implementing policies that some had characterized as fascist.

Chandrasekhar reacted to the exchange by warning Google that such responses were "direct violations" of the IT Rules, 2021 as well as "several provisions of the Criminal Code."

Non-compliance with the provisions of the IT Act and IT Rules would result in "potential penal consequences to the intermediaries or platforms or its users when identified," the advisory adds.

GIF





Welcome to TechCrunch comments! Please keep conversations courteous and on-topic. To foster productive and respectful conversations, you may see comments from our Community Managers, who will be designated by a "TechCrunch Staff" or "Staff" label. To promote the best user experience, we close commenting after an article has been posted for three days.

TechCrunch Finance's Conversations message boards accept comments indefinitely. See our community guidelines for more information.

∴ Log in



No one seems to have shared their thoughts on this topic yet

Leave a comment so your voice will be heard first.

Powered by OpenWeb Feedback

## **Sign up for Newsletters**

Be the first to comment...

See all newsletters

Daily News
Week in Review